|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 27 November 1964   |
| 1.0  |  |
| . •  | COPY   |
|  |  |
| MEMORANDUM FOR:  | CIA/ORR  |
| ATTENTION:   | 25X1   |
|  | CGS/Recce/R  |
| THROUGH:   |  |
| SUBJECT:   | Aircraft at Moscow Airframe Plunt No. 30, USSR   |
| REFERENCE:   | Requirement No. C-RR4-81,913, NPIC Project No. N 1040-64   |
|  |  |
| this studyEle  |  |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cov this study. Ele Eight of these a through the conf   | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT riguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied   |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cove this study. Electified of these at through the confimounted wing, and all eight aircrafrom feet to   | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT riguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on aft is and the wing span measurements varied  |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Electing the configuration of these attrough the configuration of the con | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT riguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied to an and distortion in the imagery of the three remaining aircript to their orientation on the photography precludes a   |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Electified these at through the configurated wing, and all eight aircraft from feet to 3. Halatic craft due primar positive identif   | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT riguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied to the overall fuselage length on and distortion in the imagery of the three remaining aircript to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and   |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Election of these attrough the configuration mounted wing, and all eight aircraft of feet to 3. Halatic craft due primare positive identificating edge of the COOT, however   | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT rejuration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be  |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Election these at through the confimounted wing, an all eight aircraft from feet to 3. Halatic craft due primar positive identification leading edge of the COOT, however a result of the (high or low) and the study of the cook and the study of the cook are such to the cook are such t | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT riguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation is the wing configuration may be image halation and distortice. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these.   |
| good quality cover this study. Election of these at through the confimounted wing, and all eight aircraft of the confimount of the coor, however a result of the (high or low) and aircraft, however the coor, however a result of the confirmation of the coor, however a result of the coor, however, however, however, however, however, he can be considered to the coor of the coordinate of the coordi | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT regularion and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as oner, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, er, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with   |
| good quality cover this study. Election of these at through the confimounted wing, and all eight aircraft due primar positive identificating edge of the COOT, howeved a result of the (high or low) and aircraft, howeved the known configured the wing spand the wing spand.   | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on ar, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, are, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the sidilional length over the known   |
| good quality cover this study. Election of these at through the configuration feet to all eight aircraft from feet to a. Halatic craft due primar positive identificating edge of the COOT, however a result of the (high or low) an aircraft, however the known configuration of the known configuration of the wing span and the wing span are coot wing span are | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, are, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the side inneal length over the known measurement is probably due to halation.   |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Electified of these attrough the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the coordinate of the c | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a rication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on ar, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, are, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the sidilional length over the known   |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Electified of these attrough the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the coordinate of the c | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a fication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, er, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the side invaled of the coor of the known measurement is probably due to helation.  The side invaled invaled the coor of the three air- |
| 2. KEYHOI good quality cover this study. Electified of these attrough the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the coordinate of the c | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a fication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, er, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the side invaled of the coor of the known measurement is probably due to helation.  The side invaled invaled the coor of the three air- |
| good quality cover this study. Election of these at through the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the coor, however a result of the (high or low) an aircraft, however the known configuration of the coor wing span of t | provided the latest date verage of Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, and was utilized for even aircraft are visible in the plant area on this mission. Aircraft can be identified as the known model of the COOT reguration and position of the engine nacelles, the low and the overall dimensions. (The overall fuselage length on and the wing span measurements varied and the wing span measurements varied to their orientation on the photography precludes a fication as the known model of the COOT. The trailing and the wing appeared to be straight instead of tapered as on er, this apparent variation in the wing configuration may be image halation and distortion. The position of the wing and of the engine nacelles could not be determined on these, er, the position of the wing along the fuselage coincides with guration of the COOT. The overall fuselage length is the side invaled of the coor of the known measurement is probably due to helation.  The side invaled invaled the coor of the three air- |

|   | :    | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|---|------|---------------|
|   | :    |               |
|   |      |               |
|   |      | •             |
|   |      | 0.537         |
|   | 0    | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|   |      |               |
| SUBJECT: Aircraft at Moscow Airframe Plant No. 30, USSR                     |      |               |
|   |      | •             |
| in the measurement of the wing span and coinciding measurement of the over- |      |               |
| all fuselage length with the COOT, the three aircraft can be tentatively    | •    |               |
| identified as a probable COOT.  |      |               |
|   | -    |               |
|   |      | 25>           |
| •   |      |               |
| , <del>~</del>  |      |               |
| Assistant for Photographic Analysis, NPIC                                   |      |               |
| Distribution:   |      |               |
| Cy 1&2 - CIA/ORR  |      |               |
| 3 - CIA/CGS   |      |               |
| 4 - CIA/PID<br>5 - OS/NPIC  |      |               |
| 6 - DIAXX-4/LO/NPIC   |      |               |
| - CSD/NPIC  |      |               |
| 8 - NSA/LO/NPIC<br>9 - PAC/NPIC   |      |               |
| 10 - IPO/PAG/NPIC   |      |               |
| 11 - GMD/PAG/NPIC   |      |               |
| 12 - AFNIE-BA Attn:<br>13 - OPNAV 922Y3 At 25X1                             |      |               |
| 14 - Army SPAD Attn   |      |               |
| 15 - DIAXX-2 Attn:  | •    | *             |
|   |      |               |
|   |      |               |
|   |      |               |
|   |      |               |
|   |      |               |
|   |      |               |
|   | \$ - |               |
|   | *    |               |
|   |      |               |
|   | * 1  |               |
|   |      | •             |
| $\cdot$   |      |               |
| Declass Review by NIMA/DOD  |      | ·             |
| Declass Review by NIMA/DOD  |      | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |

